

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,330	06/23/2003	Clarence Nathaniel Ahlem	202.2D2	9052
26551 7590 06/23/2005			EXAMINER	
	EN PHARMACEUT	BADIO, BARBARA P		
4435 EASTGA	TE MALL			
SUITE 400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			1617	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/602,330	AHLEM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	'his action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 25-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 25-28,35,37,38 and 41-43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-34,36,39 and 40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/27/05 & 5/31/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/602,330

Art Unit: 1617

First Office Action on the Merits

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 29-40 in the reply filed on April 25, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the scope of claim 29 has been reduced and, thus, the new claims are easily searchable. This is not found persuasive because the compounds recited by the instant claims are classified in various classes and subclasses. Therefore, a search of the entire scope of said compounds would require different search strategies and, thus, would post a burden on the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made **FINAL**.

2. Based on applicant's election of the species defined in claim 40 and the treatment of innate immune suppression due to radiation therapy, the following generic group of compounds will be examined in the present application:

Compounds recited in claim 29 wherein R⁹ is –CHR¹⁰-.

3. Claims 25-43 are pending in the present application. Claims 25-28, 35, 37, 38 and 41-43 stand withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected invention. Claims 29-34, 36, 39 and 40 will be examined according to MPEP § 803.02.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,330

Art Unit: 1617

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 29-34, 36, 39 and 40 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-4 and 9-11 of copending Application No. 10/651,515. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both encompass treatment of an innate immune suppression condition due to radiation exposure (see claim 11 of the copending application). The difference in the claims is in the scope of the claimed invention. For example, unlike the copending application, the instant claims are limited to compounds wherein R⁷ and R⁸ are carbon atoms. However, the compounds recited by the instant claims are made obvious by the disclosure of the copending application (see for example, claim 9 of the copending application). Thus, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to utilize any of the compounds of the present claims, including those disclosed by the copending application, with the reasonable expectation that they would useful in treatment of an

Art Unit: 1617

innate immune suppression condition due to radiation exposure (see claim 30 of the copending application).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 29-34, 36, 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Loria (US 5,461,042).

Loria teaches the use of steroid derivatives such as 3β , 17β -dihydroxyandrost-5-ene (androstenediol) in preventing or ameliorating the adverse effects of radiation therapy (see the entire article, especially claims 1 and 3). The method of use taught by the reference is encompassed by the instant claims.

Telephone Inquiry

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,330 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Barbara P. Badio, Ph

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1617

BB June 22, 2005